



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/782,673

02/19/2004

Jason Raymond Baumgartner

AUS920030638US1

5249

47959

7590

03/18/2008

IBM AUSTIN (ANTHONY ENGLAND)
C/O LAW OFFICE OF ANTHONY ENGLAND
PO BOX 5307
AUSTIN, TX 78763-5307

EXAMINER

KIK, PHALLAKA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2825

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/18/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---|---------------------|
| 10782673 | 2/19/04 | BAUMGARTNER ET AL. | AUS920030638US1 |

EXAMINER

PHALLAKA KIK

ART UNIT**PAPER**

2825

20080310

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

1. Attached is the IDS filed on 2/2/2008 under 37 CFR 1.501, which has been placed in the patent file; however, the information referred therein has NOT been considered as to the merits since it was NOT filed during the pendency of the application (see MPEP § 2300.10).
2. Applicant is advised that in order for the IDS to be considered, a request for re-examination will be required (see 37 CFR 1.501 and MPEP section 2300.01). In addition, since the citation is made by the patent owner, the explanation of pertinency and applicability may need to include an explanation of how the claims differ from the prior art (see 37 CFR 1.501).

/Phallaka Kik/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2825
March 10, 2008